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Abstract

Some properties of a class of harmonic multivalent functions defined by an integral operator are introduced, like, coefficient estimates, distortion property, extreme points, inclusion results and closure under an integral operator for this class are obtained.

1. Introduction

Let \( u \) and \( v \) are real harmonic functions in the simply connected domain \( D \subseteq \mathbb{C} \), then the continuous functions \( f = u + iv \) defined in \( D \) is said to be harmonic in \( D \). In any simply connected domain \( D \subseteq \mathbb{C} \), we can write \( f = h + \overline{g} \), where \( h \) and \( g \) are analytic in \( D \). We call \( h \) the analytic part and \( g \) the co-analytic part of \( f \).

A necessary and sufficient condition for \( f \) to be locally univalent and sense preserving in \( D \) is that \(|h'(z)| > |g'(z)| \) (see Clunie and Sheil-Small [1], see also [2]).

Denote by \( H \) the class of functions \( f = h + \overline{g} \) that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the open unit disc \( U = \{z: |z| < 1\} \) where \( h \) and \( g \) are analytic in \( D \) and \( f \) is normalized by \( f(0) = h(0) = f_0(0) = 0 \).

The class \( H_p(n) (p, n \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}) \) consisting of all \( p \)-valent harmonic functions \( f = h + \overline{g} \) that are sense-preserving in \( U \) was defined by Ahuja and Jahangiri [3], where \( h \) and \( g \) are of the form

\[
h(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1}, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1}, \quad |b_k| < 1.\]

(1)

It may be worth noting that, when \( p = 1 \) the class \( H_p(n) \) was defined and studied by Jahangiri et al. [4].


1. \( I^p f(z) = f(z); \)
2. \( I^p f(z) = I(f(t)) = \int_0^t f(t^{-1}) \, dt; \)
3. \( I^p f(z) = I(I^{p-1} f(z)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad f \in A, \quad \text{where} \quad A = \{f \in H : f(z) = z + a_1 z^2 + \ldots\} \quad \text{and} \quad H = H(U), \quad \text{the class of analytic functions in} \ U.\)

The modified Salagean integral operator [7] of \( f = h + \overline{g} \) given by (1) is defined as

\[
l^n f(z) = l^n h(z) + (1-l^n)g(z),\]

(2)

where

\[
l^n h(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{p}{k+p-1}\right)^n a_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1},\]

and

\[
l^n g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{p}{k+p-1}\right)^n b_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1}.\]

Now, we define the class of harmonic multivalent functions as follows:

Let \( H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) we denote the class of harmonic multivalent functions of the form (1) such that

\[
Re \left( \frac{l^n f(z) + 2 \delta p^n}{(1-l^n) + 2 \delta p^n} \right) > \mu,
\]

(3)

where \( l^n \) is defined by (2), \( 0 \leq \gamma, \mu < 1, \quad 0 \leq \delta < \frac{1}{2}, \quad n, k, p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad z \in U.\)
Let \( H_{p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) denote the subclass of \( H_{p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) consisting of harmonic multivalent functions \( f_{n} = h + \overline{g_{n}} \) so that \( h \) and \( g_{n} \) are of the form:

\[
h(z) = z^{p} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k+p-1}z^{k+p-1}, \quad g(z) = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p-1}z^{k+p-1},
\]

where \( a_{k+p-1} \geq 0, \ b_{k+p-1} \geq 0 \) and \( |b_{p}| < 1 \).

We denote the subclass of \( H_{p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) consisting of harmonic multivalent functions so that \( h \) and \( g_{n} \) are of the form:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}
\]

where \( a_{n} \geq 0, \ b_{n} \geq 0 \) and \( |b_{n}| < 1 \).

We deep it worthwhile to point here the relevance of the function class \( H_{p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) with those classes of functions which have been studied recently. So we note that

(i) If we take \( \delta = 0 \), then the class \( H_{p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) reduced to the class \( H_{p}(n, \beta, t) \) [5] of course after replace \( \mu \) and \( \gamma \) by \( \beta, \ t \) respectively.

(ii) The class \( H_{p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) reduced to the class \( H_{p}(n, \beta) \) [7], if we take \( \delta = 0 \) and \( \gamma = 1 \).

(iii) Also our class \( H_{p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) reduced to the class \( H_{p}(n+1, n, \alpha, 0) \) [6] with values \( \delta = 0, \ \gamma = 1 \) and \( \mu = \beta \).

In this paper, we study a class of harmonic multivalent functions defined by an integral operator. Coefficient bounds, distortion bounds, extreme points, inclusion results and closure under an integral operator for functions in the class \( H_{p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) are obtained.

**Lemma 1.1:** [8] Let \( \alpha \geq 0 \). Then \( Re(w) > \alpha \) if and only if \( |w - (1 + \alpha)| < |w + (1 - \alpha)| \), where \( w \) be any complex number.

**2. Main Results**

In our first theorem, we give the sufficient coefficient condition for harmonic functions belonging to the class \( H_{p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \).

**Theorem 2.1:** Let \( f = h + \overline{g} \) be given by (1). If

\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \varphi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) |a_{k+p-1}| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) |b_{k+p-1}| \leq 1,
\]

where

\[
\varphi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) = \left( \frac{p}{k+p-1} \right)^{n} \left[ 1 - \mu \left( \frac{p}{k+p-1} \right) \right],
\]

\[
\Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) = \left( \frac{p}{k+p-1} \right)^{n} \left[ 1 + \mu \left( \frac{p}{k+p-1} \right) \right],
\]

\( 0 \leq \gamma, \mu \leq 1, \ 0 \leq \delta < \frac{1}{2}, \ n, k, p \in \mathbb{N} \).

Then \( f \) is harmonic multivalent sense - preserving in \( U \) and \( f \in H_{p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \).

**Proof.** Denote \( \left( \frac{p}{k+p-1} \right) \) by \( \rho \) through in this proof. To prove that \( f \in H_{p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) by the condition (3), we only need to show that if (5) holds, then

\[
Re \left( \frac{L(z)}{K(z)} \right) \geq \mu,
\]

where \( z = re^{i\theta}, \ 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, \ 0 \leq r < 1, \) and \( 0 \leq \mu < 1 \).

Note that

\[
L(z) = L^{n}(z) + 2b \zeta^{p} \text{ and } \ K(z) = (1 - \gamma)z^{p} + \gamma L^{n+1}(z).
\]

By using Lemma 1.1, it suffices to show that

\[
|L(z) + (1 - \mu)K(z)| - |L(z) - (1 + \mu)K(z)| \geq 0.
\]

Substituting \( L(z) \) and \( K(z) \) in (6), we obtain

\[
|L(z) + (1 - \mu)K(z)| - |L(z) - (1 + \mu)K(z)|
= |L^{n}(z) + 2b \zeta^{p} + (1 - \mu)((1 - \gamma)z^{p} + \gamma L^{n+1}(z))| - |L^{n}(z) + \delta \zeta^{p} - (1 + \mu)((1 - \gamma)z^{p} + \gamma L^{n+1}(z))|.
\]
\[ z^n + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} p^n a_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + (1-\mu) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^n b_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + 2\delta z^n + \gamma z^n = (2 + 2\delta - \mu) z^n \]

Or equivalently to

\[ |L(z) + (1-\mu)K(z)| - |L(z) - (1+\mu)K(z)| \]

\[ = 2(1 + \delta - \mu) \left[ 1 - \left( \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} p^n \left( \frac{1}{k+p-1} \right) \left| a_{k+p-1} \right| z^{k+p-1} \right) + 2\mu z^{p+1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| b_{k+p-1} \right| z^{k+p-1} \right) \right] \geq 0, \]
by (5), which implies that \( f \in H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \).

The harmonic multivalent function

\[
f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta)} x_k z^{k+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta)} y_k z^{k+p-1},
\]

where \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} x_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k = 1 \), shows that the coefficient bound given by (5) is sharp. The functions of the form (7) are in the class \( H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \), because

\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \varphi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) |a_{k+p-1}| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \varphi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) |x_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) |y_k| = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |x_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_k| = 1.
\]

Now, we show that the condition (5) is also necessary for the function \( f_n = h + \overline{g}_n \) to belong to \( H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \), where \( h \) and \( g_n \) are given by (4).

**Theorem 2.2:** Let \( f_n = h + \overline{g}_n \), be given by (4). Then \( f_n \in H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) if and only if

\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \varphi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) a_{k+p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) b_{k+p-1} \leq 1,
\]

where \( 0 \leq \mu, \gamma < 1 \), \( 0 \leq \delta < \frac{1}{n} \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), with \( b_{k+p-1} > a_{k+p-1} \) for every \( k \geq 2 \).

**Proof.** Denote \( \sum_{k+p-1}^{\infty} \) by \( p \) through in this proof. Since \( \overline{H}_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \subset H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \), we need only to prove the "only if" theorem. For functions \( f_n \) of the form (4), we notice that the condition

\[
Re \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\gamma} |f(z)|^{2p} + \gamma^{n+1} |f(z)| \right\} > \mu,
\]

is equivalent to

\[
Re \left\{ \frac{(1+\delta-\mu)z^p}{z^p - \gamma \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} p^n \rho^{n+1} a_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + \gamma (1)^{2n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho^{n+1} b_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1}} \right\} \geq 0.
\]

Now, the last inequality (9) must hold for all values of \( z \) in \( U \). Choosing the value of \( z \) on the positive real axis where \( 0 \leq z = r < 1 \), we have \( b_{k+p-1} > a_{k+p-1} \) for every \( k \geq 2 \),

\[
(1+\delta-\mu)z^p - \gamma \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} p^n \rho^{n+1} a_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + \gamma (1)^{2n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho^{n+1} b_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} \geq 0.
\]

We notice that the expression in (10) is negative for \( r \) sufficiently close to 1, when the condition (8) does not hold. Hence there exist \( r_0 \) for which the quotient in (10) is negative. This contradicts the required condition for \( f_n \in H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \).

### 3. Extreme Points and Distortion Bounds.

Here, we consider the extreme points of closed convex hull of \( \overline{H}_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \), denoted by \( clcH_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) and we obtain the distortion bounds for functions in \( H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \).

**Theorem 3.1:** Let \( f_n \) be given by (4). Then \( f_n \in clcH_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) if and only if

\[
f_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{k+p-1} h_{k+p-1}(z) + y_{k+p-1} g_{k+p-1}(z),
\]

where \( h_p(z) = z^p, h_{k+p-1}(z) = z^p + \frac{1}{\varphi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta)} z^{k+p-1}, k \geq 2,3, \ldots \), and

\[
g_{k+p-1}(z) = z^p + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{\Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta)} z^{k+p-1}, k \geq 2,3, \ldots
\]

\( x_{k+p-1} \geq 0 \), \( y_{k+p-1} \geq 0 \), \( x_p = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} x_{k+p-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_{k+p-1} \).

**Proof.** Suppose

\[
f_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{k+p-1} h_{k+p-1}(z) + y_{k+p-1} g_{k+p-1}(z)
\]
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( x_{k+p-1} + y_{k+p-1} \right) z^p = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta)} x_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} \\
+ \left(-1\right)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta)} y_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1}. \]

On the other hand
\[ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta)} x_{k+p-2} z^{k+p-1} + \left(-1\right)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta)} y_{k+p-2} z^{k+p-1}. \]

Therefore \( f_\alpha(z) \in \text{cico}_{\mathcal{H}_p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \).

Conversely, if \( f_\alpha(z) \in \text{cico}_{\mathcal{H}_p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \). Assume
\[ x_p = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} x_{k+p-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_{k+p-1}. \]

Set
\[ x_{k+p-1} = \frac{\phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) a_{k+p-1}}{\Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta)} \]
and
\[ y_{k+p-1} = \frac{\phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) b_{k+p-1}}{\Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta)}. \]

Now, consider
\[ f_\alpha(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + \left(-1\right)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1}. \]

Theorem 3.2: Let \( f_\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{H}_p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \). Then for \( |z| = r < 1 \), we have
\[ |f_\alpha(z)| \leq (1 + b)r^p + \left( \psi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) - \phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \right) r^{p+1}, \] (11)

and
\[ |f_\alpha(z)| \geq (1 + b) r^p - \left( \psi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) + \phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \right) r^{p+1}, \] (12)

where
\[ \psi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) = \left( \frac{1}{p + 1} \right)^n \left[ 1 - \mu \frac{p}{p + 1} \right] \]
and
\[ \phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) = \left( \frac{1}{p + 1} \right)^n \left[ 1 + \mu \frac{p}{p + 1} \right]. \]

Proof. We prove the right hand side from (11) for \( |f_\alpha| \). The proof of inequality (12) is similar. Let \( f_\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{H}_p}(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \). Taking the absolute value of \( f_\alpha \), therefore by Theorem (2.2), we obtain:
\[ |f_\alpha(z)| = |z^p - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + \left(-1\right)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1}| \]
\[ \leq r^p - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1}| + \left(-1\right)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1}| \]
\[ = r^p + p \rho r^p + \psi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\psi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta)} (a_{k+p-1} + b_{k+p-1}) r^{k+p-1} \]
\[ \leq (1 + b) r^p + \psi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) r^{p+1}. \]
4. Convolution Property and Convex Combination of the Class $H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta)$.

Here, we want to prove two theorems, the first theorem about convolution for the class $H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta)$ and in the second theorem, we prove that the class $H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta)$ is closed under convex combination.

The convolution of two harmonic functions

$$f_n(x) = z^p - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p-1}(z)^{k+p-1},$$

and

$$q_n(x) = z^p - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k+p-1}(z)^{k+p-1},$$

is defined as

$$(f_n * q_n)(z) = f_n(z) * q_n(z)$$

$$= z^p - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k+p-1} c_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p-1} d_{k+p-1}(z)^{k+p-1},$$

Using this definition, we show that the class $H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta)$ is closed under convolution.

**Theorem 4.1:** For $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$, let $f_n \in H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta)$ and $q_n \in H_p(n, \tau, \gamma, \delta)$.

Then

$$f_n * q_n \in H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \subset H_p(n, \tau, \gamma, \delta).$$

**Proof.** Let

$$f_n(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p-1}(z)^{k+p-1},$$

be in the class $H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta)$ and

$$q_n(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k+p-1}(z)^{k+p-1},$$

be in $H_p(n, \tau, \gamma, \delta)$.

Then the convolution $f_n * q_n$ is given by (15), we want to show that the coefficients of $f_n * q_n$ satisfies the required condition given in Theorem (2.2).

For $q_n \in H_p(n, \tau, \gamma, \delta)$, we note that $c_{k+p-1} < 1$ and $d_{k+p-1} < 1$. Now consider convolution functions $f_n * q_n$ as follows:

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \phi(n, p, k, \tau, \gamma, \delta) a_{k+p-1} c_{k+p-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi(n, p, k, \tau, \gamma, \delta) b_{k+p-1} d_{k+p-1}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \phi(n, p, k, \tau, \gamma, \delta) a_{k+p-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi(n, p, k, \tau, \gamma, \delta) b_{k+p-1}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) a_{k+p-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) b_{k+p-1}$$

$$\leq 1. \text{ (since } 0 \leq \tau \leq \mu < 1 \text{ and } f_n \in H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta).)$$

Now we prove the second theorem in this section as follows

**Theorem 4.2:** The class $H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta)$ is closed under convex combinations.

**Proof.** For $s = 1, 2, \ldots$. Suppose that $f_{n,s} \in H_p(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta)$, where $f_{n,s}$ is given by

$$f_{n,s}(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{s,k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{s,k+p-1}(z)^{k+p-1},$$

Then by Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) a_{s,k+p-1} + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) b_{s,k+p-1} \leq 1,$$

(16)

For $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} t_s = 1, 0 \leq t_s \leq 1$.

Then the convex combination of $f_{n,s}$ written as
Now, by using the inequality (16), we obtain
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} t_k = z^p - \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k a_{k,p-1} \right) z^{k+p-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k b_{k,p-1} (z)^{k+p-1}.
\]

Next, we introduce the last theorem in this paper of the class \( \overline{H}_p (n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \) under the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston integral operator (see [9] and [10]). For \( f(z) \) can be defined as
\[
\mathcal{F}_u(f) = \frac{u + p}{z^n} \int_0^z t^{u-1} f(t) \, dt, \quad u > -1.
\]

**Theorem 4.3:** Let \( f_n(z) \in \overline{H}_p (n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \). Then \( \mathcal{F}_u(f_n(z)) \in \overline{H}_p (n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \).

**Proof.** From definition of \( \mathcal{F}_u(f_n(z)) \) given by (17), it follows that
\[
\mathcal{F}_u(f_n(z)) = \frac{u + p}{z^n} \int_0^z t^{u-1} \left[ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,p-1} t^{k+p-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k,p-1} t^{k+p-1} \right] \, dt
\]
\[
= z^p \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{u + p}{u + p + k - 1} a_{k,p-1} z^{k+p-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{u + p}{u + p + k - 1} b_{k,p-1} (z)^{k+p-1}
\]
\[
= z^p - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} G_{k+p-1} z^{k+p-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L_{k+p-1} (z)^{k+p-1},
\]
where
\[
G_{k+p-1} = \frac{u + p}{u + p + k - 1} a_{k,p-1}, \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
L_{k+p-1} = \frac{u + p}{u + p + k - 1} b_{k,p-1}.
\]
Hence
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \frac{u + p}{u + p + k - 1} a_{k,p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \frac{u + p}{u + p + k - 1} b_{k,p-1}
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n, p, k, \mu, \gamma, \delta) b_{k,p-1}.
\]
\[
\leq 1. \quad \text{(by 8)}
\]
Therefore by Theorem (2.2), we have \( \mathcal{F}_u(f_n(z)) \in \overline{H}_p (n, \mu, \gamma, \delta) \).
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The conclusion
In this research it is studied a definition of the class of functions related to the function

$H^m(n, \mu, \gamma, \delta)$

which is used in this definition using the authors of [5] in 2010 and they measured it in

$\mu(n) = \frac{\mu(n)}{\delta(n)}$

and the upper bound and the lower bound of the first order of the functions in the mentioned class. Also, the availability of similar conclusions as in the previous work is mentioned.
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